

Presenters

Moderator: Howard Berchtold, Jr., Trial Court Administrator

Panelists: William Snowden, The Juror Project

Gregg L. Zeff, NAACP-New Jersey



Overview

- Background -- History of Jury Reforms in New Jersey
- Systemic Barriers to Diverse Jury Venires
 - Felony Disenfranchisement
 - Juror Compensation Rate
 - Peremptory Challenges
- Community Mindset and Public Perception
- Next: Racial Bias in Jury Selection & Importance of Minority Representation



Background

- Expansion of sources (to three) used to create master jury list
- Elimination of statutory exemptions for jury service
- Introduction of automated jury management system
- Standardization of statewide administrative jury policies
- Supreme Court adoption of <u>Gilmore</u> standards (as expanded in <u>Andujar</u>) to prevent discrimination in jury selection



10,000 Unreached Jurors in Courtrooms

- Data shows that attorneys rarely use all peremptory challenges
 -- and generally use 16 of 32 available in criminal trials.
- Yet jury managers must plan for the chance that all challenges will be used to prevent an interrupted selection.
- This means that panels include 32 jurors to account for available peremptory challenges, with 16 of those -- more than 10,000/year -- never reached for questioning.



Systemic Barriers to Diverse Juries

• In New Jersey, and many other jurisdictions, individuals with a felony conviction are **permanently disqualified** from jury service.

- This permanent bar excludes disproportionate numbers of Black and Hispanic/Latinx individuals.
- The effect may be especially pronounced in New Jersey in light of the substantial demographic disparity in incarceration rates.



Systemic Barriers to Diverse Juries

- New Jersey grand jurors earn only \$5.00/day for service that extends for several months (either one or two days/week).
- Trial jurors earn \$5.00/day for the first three days, then \$40.00/day starting on the fourth day of service.
- This creates financial hardships, and is insufficient even to offset transportation and parking costs in many locations.



Systemic Barriers to Diverse Juries

- Nationally, research shows the availability and exercise of peremptory challenges tends to reduce representativeness.
- New Jersey provides far more peremptory challenges in criminal matters than any other state.

• This sets the stage for situations in which the already low level of diversity evaporates during jury selection based on attorney conduct rather than a juror's inability to be impartial.



Community Mindset & Public Perceptions

 Jury service – with minimal compensation – is often viewed as an inconvenience or a burden.

Members of the community may believe that their participation does not matter.

• Individuals who have previously reported to the court, only to be peremptorily stricken or not reached for questioning, may view the process as a waste of time.



The Juror Project

- The Juror Project seeks to correct that mistaken narrative.
- It works to educate members of the community about the importance of their participation in the jury process.



The Juror Project

 The involvement of jurors from all backgrounds improves jury functioning and outcomes.

 Diverse juries engage in more objective decision-making during deliberations and achieve more informed and unbiased trial outcomes.

 This decreases controversial acquittals and increases public confidence in jury decisions.



Jury Box and Access to Power

- If you had the opportunity to decide the fate of another person
 -- and to potentially prevent an innocent individual from punishment -- what would you do?
- What if you or a loved one were the one whose fate was to be decided by a group of strangers?

