

Kelly S. Crawford – NJ Attorney ID #029141993

**RIKER DANZIG LLP**

Headquarters Plaza

One Speedwell Avenue

Morristown, NJ 07962-1981

(973) 538-0800

*Attorneys for Defendants Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson*

**FILED**

**MAY 09 2024**

**GREGG A. PADOVANO, J.S.C.**


TAMARA BURTON and RICHARD ELIZONDO,	:	SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
	:	LAW DIVISION – BERGEN COUNTY
Plaintiffs,	:	DOCKET NO. BER-L-14867-14
	:	MASTER DOCKET NO. BER-L-11575-14
v.	:	In Re Pelvic Mesh/Gynecare Litigation
JOHNSON & JOHNSON and ETHICON, INC.,	:	Case No. 291
	:	
Defendants.	:	CIVIL ACTION
	:	<b>CONSENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE</b>

**THIS MATTER**, having been opened to the Court by Plaintiff, through her counsel Cohen, Placitella & Roth, P.C., and Defendants, through their counsel Riker Danzig LLP, seeking an Order dismissing all claims, crossclaims and third party claims between the parties, by consent of all parties, and for good cause shown;

IT IS ON THIS 9<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2024;

**ORDERED** that all claims, cross-claims and third party claims between the parties be and are hereby dismissed without prejudice. The parties shall bear their own fees and costs.

**ORDERED** that copies of this Order shall be served upon all counsel of record and all liaison counsel within five (5) days of the date hereof.



Hon. Gregg A. Padovano, J.S.C.

**CONSENTED TO AS TO FORM AND ENTRY:**

Christopher M. Placitella, Esq.  
Cohen, Placitella & Roth, P.C.  
127 Maple Avenue  
Red Bank, NJ 07701

Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.  
Riker Danzig LLP  
Headquarters Plaza  
One Speedwell Avenue  
Morristown, NJ 07962

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Tamara Burton and  
Richard Elizondo*

*Attorneys for Defendants  
Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson*

By: /s/ Christopher M. Placitella<sup>1</sup>  
Christopher M. Placitella

By: /s/ Kelly S. Crawford<sup>1</sup>  
Kelly S. Crawford

April  
Dated: ~~May~~ 30, 2024

Dated: April 11, 2024

---

<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court Omnibus Order on Covid-19 issues entered on March 27, 2020, “ The provisions of Rule 1:32-2A(c) and all other Court Rules requiring original signatures on filings are relaxed and supplemented so as to permit electronic signatures to be used in all filing processes temporarily authorized to be used during the COVID-19 crisis, including, but not limited to emergent applications submitted by email and hardcopy submissions in dockets without an approved electronic filing system... ”